MCA - MDT Highway Technical Meeting April 17, 2024 – via in Person and Video Conference

JOINT MEETING - 2:00 PM

Participants:

MDT:

Dave Gates
Oak Metcalf
Joe Green
Megan Handl
Fred Beal
Kathy Terrio
Maghan Strachan
Paul Bushnell

Clarissa Martin John MacMillan Jay Fleming Josh Heck Dean Jones Jeremy Wilde

Stephen McEvoy Rich Hibl Regina Beiber Chris Trautmann Paul Cogley

Paul Cogley
Jayden Manuel
Clay Blackwell
Kathy James
Patrick Lane
Darin Reynolds
Dustin Rouse
Jeffrey Harrison

Mike Dodge

Matt Strizich (FHWA)

Jim Davies Bill Felton

Matt Collingwood

Geno Liva

Darrell Williams

MCA:

Cale Fisher – Riverside Contracting Guy Slaybaugh – Century Companies

Dennis Dirks- Contech

Brad Meyers – Highmark Traffic Mike Newton- M&P Permitting Ross Fulton- Fisher Sand & Gravel

David Smith – MCA Nicole Hanni - MCA Mike Meredith- Rinker

Colton Dean – Century Companies

Jim Powell – RDO

Jason Kreth - Helena S&G

Shane Nelson – Century Companies Russ Gaub – Riverside Contracting Clinton Habel – Riverside Contracting Chris Conners – Mountain West Co Kerry Gray – Highway Specialties

Brad Newcomb - Calumet

Solomon Redfern – Helena S&G

SPECIFICATION CHANGES

101.02 Acronyms and Abbreviations

No comments.

105.03.2 Items Designated for Acceptance on a Lot Basis (Quality Assurance)

McMillan discussed clarification and error. Redfern questioned why set this way and it being limited at 95. Metcalf discussed limit at 95 and being open to increasing. Might be left over from super pay where levels of compaction are at this level and could create some instability and how higher compaction is not a bad thing. Metcalf will review to see if range can be increased to 96/97. Issues with striping go away when you have higher compaction levels.

106.02.3 Contractor-Furnished Sources

Information copied from materials spec book. More of dispute a resolution. Newton discussed the change of failed pits that would not pass. One solution would be to bring in materials from another location and then the pit would pass. The state stepped in and stopped source approvals in this way. Stockpile approvals were how this has dealt with in the past. MT 201 will be revamped considering dwindling aggregate sources and expanded sources of these sources.

204.03.1 Blasting Professional

Redfern questioned the reasoning. Green discussed the need for this to be someone outside for safety concerns. Newton discussed Arizona blasting group owned by Fisher and issue they had using someone outside of the company. Redfern discussed the small number of blasters and how it is already hard to find them. Green will discuss and circle back with group.

Follow up to question on 204.03.1 proposed spec. The intent is simply to ensure that the Blasting Professional (i.e. Blasting Consultant) is an individual who has no business connection to the entity or entities that are performing the work on the Contract and is qualified to review the plans and the site before each blast and make suggestions as needed for potential modifications to improve blast performance, ensure safety, and to document (through pre- and post-blast surveys) any issues where an outside entity (utilities, landowners, etc.) may attempt to gain undue compensation for damages. This person is required so that the interests of the Contractor and MDT are protected from such attempts.

208.05 Environmental Protection-Basis of Payment

McMillan discussed this request from MCA contractors. Slaybaugh discussed the issue on project when SWPPP permit is being transferred. During walkthrough process, the request will be made for more BMPs and how the contractor will get paid. Green discussed it would be case by case and information in BMP guide will be used to determine outcome of payment.

208.05.1 Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control-Lump Sum

MacMillan discussed this will speed up process when no permit exists.

401 Plant Mix

Redfern discussed concerns on page 9 regarding .5 "or shorter" and what that looks like. Metcalf discussed this change and how the department was accepting grinding instead of

removal and replace. Most of the time PM will allow grinding and it will be acceptable. Intent is to not assess a penalty and then let contractor rehab into incentive. Redfern believes it is not clear and up to interpretation and implemented differently. Metcalf discussed page 10 change to 10 business days. Dodge discussed that they are trying to make a more reasonable timeline to correct anything. MDT wasn't meeting the 3-day window.

409.03.12 Underseal

No comments.

610.05 Seeding and Condition Seed Bed

Slaybaugh expressed some concerns on how subcontractors should bid it and expects to see pricing on unit pricing to go high. McMillan shared that 2 bid items will come in, as MDT doesn't know. Goal is to prevent duplicate payments.

618.03.14 Flagging Operations

No comments.

709.12 Type II Aluminized Corrugated Steel Pipe

No comments.

Detailed Drawings

No comments.

Ad-HOC: Sidewalk changes are coming.

MCA New Business

1. SBE Goals

a. Redfern questioning SBE goals and it being a requirement. General issues getting DBEs and SBEs hired onto our projects. Selection Criteria: Larger projects will be assigned these criteria. All DBEs automatically qualify for the SBE program. Goal is small at 0.1%. SBEs can be used to meet DBE goal this year. Last disparity study showed white women are used more than they should be. Slaybaugh questioned how we get to the key decision makers involved in this conversation. List is extremely short that can provide services to a construction company. Contractors can solicit people to join as a SBE or DBE and they don't want to deal with the process. Other incentives are out there, including using a DBE that hasn't done MDT work in 3 years and the potential for incentives. Good faith efforts are not acceptable as they become too subjectable. New rules coming out May 1 which will decertify some companies who don't do business or haven't in a while. Personal net worth increased from \$1.32m to \$2m and excludes retirement.

2. AASHTOWare Program

a. Terrio discussed that some of these items are outside of our control. Working on cleaning up long lists displayed at contractor level. Subcontractor help occurs every day and have lots of resources online.

3. Seal and Cover - Pilot Cars and Striping

a. Redfern discussed concerns over if you need to have a pilot car when the road is not striped. Different ways from different EPMs.

MDT New Business

1. Extra Work - Subsection 109.04

a. Beal discussed handout and some of the main things to discuss. Redfern will help facilitate the concerns occurring between MCA and MDT and will reach out to MDT at that point. Virtual/in person meeting.

Old Business

1. DBE – Updates

a. No comments

Ad-Hoc

1. Subcommittees- Hamburg and Traffic Control

- **a.** Martin shared Hamburg summary. No comments received regarding Lump Sum Traffic Control guidelines. Wilde discussed commitment to use traffic control bid items by day and using lump sum inside urban areas for some of those more complex projects occurring.
- **b.** Metcalf discussed Hamburg subcommittee. More frequent meetings in the front of the year and the back. Redfern mentioned creating an Asphalt Committee that meets a few times a year. MT is behind in comparison to other states. Feb 2025 training on the way.
- **c.** Meredith: Precast Prestressed Industry meeting earlier today went well. Meredith suggested a cut away from Concrete Division and how there are only a few MCA members that fall into this category.

2. Materials – PMS and Concrete incentive updates

a. Metcalf discussed incentive information from last year after comments from industry meeting. Still ongoing and waiting for concrete incentive information.

Meeting adjourned at 3:43 pm. Next meeting is June 12, 2024.